

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
12/28/2001	Qingsheng Zhu	279.132US2	2027	
7590 12/02/2003		EXAM	INER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT	
		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		3762		
	12/28/2001 7590 12/02/2003	12/28/2001 Qingsheng Zhu 7590 12/02/2003 IAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. 38	12/28/2001 Qingsheng Zhu 279.132US2 7590 12/02/2003 EXAMI AN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. EVANISKO, GEO 38 LIS, MN 55402 ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			100		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7		
	10/034,503	ZHU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George R Evanisko	3762	_		
, The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sneet	with the correspondence address	S		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by str. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of t riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commur ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
1) \boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	<u>8 December 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected t the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawi	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesince a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesince was included in the first sentence of	tents have been received. The sents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The senting time is a sent of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S. of the sentence of the specific provisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S. of the specific priority under 35 U.S. of the	Application No en received in this National Stag of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a spe	lication) Sheet.		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) 🔲 Notice o	V Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/034,503

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiments 1-3 of the lead body including a conductor, represented by an intermediate portion electrode, a wire filament electrode, or a conductive sleeve electrode, respectively.

In addition, a species must be chosen from embodiments 4 and 5 of the electrode, represented by the filament electrode or conductive sleeve electrode, respectively.

Also, a species must be chosen from embodiments 6 and 7 of the electrode location, represented by the offset electrode or the flush electrode, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims that are allowable and generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/034,503

Art Unit: 3762

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Peter Maki on 11/30/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 306-4520.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,503

Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1148.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

11/30/3

GRE November 30, 2003